

KEVIN CRAMER  
NORTH DAKOTA

WASHINGTON D.C. OFFICE:  
1032 LONGWORTH BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515  
202-225-2611

BISMARCK OFFICE:  
220 EAST ROSSER AVENUE  
SUITE 328  
BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA 58501  
701-224-0355



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515

FARGO OFFICE:  
3217 FIECHTNER DRIVE, SUITE D  
FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58103  
701-356-2216

MINOT OFFICE:  
315 MAIN STREET SOUTH, SUITE 203  
MINOT, NORTH DAKOTA 58701  
701-839-0255

GRAND FORKS OFFICE:  
CENTER FOR INNOVATION FOUNDATION BUILDING  
4200 JAMES RAY DRIVE, OFFICE 600  
GRAND FORKS, NORTH DAKOTA 58202  
701-738-4880

August 5, 2014

Received & Indexed  
873  
AUG 15 2014

FCC Mail Room

The Honorable Tom Wheeler  
Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20536

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

Broadband Internet access has become an essential part of the economic and social fabric in many rural communities, as a tool to build businesses, apply for jobs, enhance educational opportunities and connect to friends and relatives. With robust broadband service, even a small town can rely on its residents' talent and determination to compete with the world. Without it, the same community risks being left behind in today's technology-centric economy.

Phase II of the Connect America Fund ("CAF II") offers a tremendous opportunity to bring speedier, fiber-fed broadband connections to millions of Americans who wouldn't otherwise receive these benefits. Thanks to the Commission's well-publicized efforts, thousands of rural communities are now counting on CAF II. And because CAF II represents the final phase of Universal Service reform for these areas, its rules will effectively determine, for years to come, whether millions of rural residents will have a broadband connection or not. Indeed, the June 10 Further NPRM has raised hopes further by more than doubling the promised download speeds from 4 Mbps to 10 Mbps.

I am writing today to emphasize how important it is to ensure that the final details of CAF II live up to its promise. I am concerned that if the Commission more than doubles the speed requirements without allowing the appropriate level of flexibility in other elements of CAF II, the program's overall mission could be endangered.

To the Commission's credit, the June 10 FNPRM identifies a number of constructive ideas that could help achieve the speedier network goals without exceeding the CAF II annual budget. First, the CAF II funding period must be extended from the current 5 years to 10 years to allow adequate time for the construction of the higher-capacity network. Next, the Commission must use the same 10 Mbps standard when identifying broadband availability from competitors, or else communities with just 4 Mbps will be left behind. The Commission also must establish network build-out parameters consistent with the goal of providing quality broadband service to as many people as possible within CAF's limited funding framework. As such, providers must be given the flexibility to substitute extremely high cost locations with unserved locations in partially served census blocks.

I also encourage you to be as precise as possible when targeting support to areas where broadband would not otherwise be available. In the "interim" CAF I phases, an entire census block could be disqualified if a competitive carrier claimed to serve even a small fraction of its customers, and many areas were disqualified based on the untested assertions of wireless ISPs with line of sight and capacity issues that made them a poor substitute for fiber-fed networks. Now that we are in the final phase, I hope the CAF II standards can reach those unserved customers, and also require competitive carriers to meet a reasonable standard of verification before depriving a community of CAF II support.

Despite years of federal efforts to overcome the digital divide, I still often hear from constituents seeking assistance to bring broadband service to their homes. The concerns they raise are a reminder of how challenging rural broadband policy can be, but also how important it is. Thank you for considering my concerns, and I look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kevin Cramer". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Kevin Cramer  
Member of Congress



OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

August 28, 2014

The Honorable Kevin Cramer  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1032 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cramer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the implementation of certain aspects of Phase II of the Connect America Fund (CAF II). In your letter, you express concerns that the overall mission of the CAF II program could be endangered if the Commission increases the current broadband speed benchmark for program recipients to 10 Mbps downstream /1 Mbps upstream without allowing flexibility in other elements of the program, and suggest several proposals to provide such flexibility. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

I agree with you that high-speed broadband access is an essential component for economic growth in rural communities. The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. We are focused on updating the universal service high-cost program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

In April, the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers. Among other things, the *Connect America Fund Phase II Report & Order* sets a term of 10 years for support awarded through the competitive bidding process to stimulate greater interest from participants and ensure that funding is targeted efficiently to expand broadband-capable infrastructure throughout the country. In addition, in the associated *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)*, the Commission sought comment on a number of the issues you raise, including revising the current broadband performance obligations to require minimum speeds of 10 Mbps downstream, and applying the same performance obligations to all recipients of Phase II support and to rate-of-return carriers. The *FNPRM* also seeks comment on a proposal to allow recipients more flexibility as to other components of the program, such as the ability to substitute locations in partially-served census blocks for locations in the unserved census block for which it received support.

We expect a robust record on these topics and I welcome a dialogue with stakeholders as to how best to accomplish our shared objectives. I look forward to working with you as we continue reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as

Page 2—The Honorable Kevin Cramer

other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written in a cursive style.

Tom Wheeler